(Court in Session at 2:11 p.m.)

THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon.

MR. KETCHMARK: Good afternoon.

MR. FOWLER: Good afternoon.

THE COURT: We're here on Case No. 10-0025. If counsel could state their appearance for the record.

MR. KETCHMARK: David Ketchmark, Alex Mendel and Brian Casey on behalf of the United States, Your Honor.

MR. FOWLER: May it please the Court, Your Honor? Mr. Quazzani appears in person and by and through Robin Fowler.

THE COURT: We have scheduled a detention hearing today and the arraignment. And turning to detention issues, we had a meeting in my office here just a few moments ago with all counsel involved. It's my understanding that the defendant wants to ask for a continuance of the detention hearing.

MR. FOWLER: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. And what I told the parties is because we've had this issue come up increasingly recently, and so, I've tried to take a look at it, I think from here on out, because the statute talks about having the hearing within three days of the filing of the motion or five days at the defendant's request, what I'd like to do is go ahead and start the detention hearing. To the extent the parties then want an opportunity to present additional evidence, I'll take the matter under advisement and continue it until a date we agree on. And I think

we've talked about March  $1^{\rm st}$ . And so, I would like to, at least, get started with the detention hearing, if that's agreeable with everyone.

MR. FOWLER: Yes, Your Honor, it's fine.

MR. KETCHMARK: Yes, Your Honor, that's fine with the Government as well.

THE COURT: All right. And so, today, does the Government have any evidence that they are prepared to offer?

MR. KETCHMARK: I think, Your Honor, I've had an opportunity to speak with Mr. Fowler. It's my belief that the Pretrial Service Report has been reviewed by both Mr. Fowler and his client, as well as by the Government, and I think there would be a joint stipulation as to the facts contained in the Pretrial Service Report.

MR. FOWLER: That is true, Your Honor. And we join in the proffer of that. My client has looked at it, and we believe it's accurate.

THE COURT: All right. Any other evidence -- I will make a note of that, that the Court can consider the Pretrial Services Report. Any other evidence that the parties are prepared to either offer or stipulate to today?

MR. KETCHMARK: The only other thing that the Government would ask, Your Honor, is that the Court take judicial notice of the Indictment that was handed down and returned on February 3<sup>rd</sup> of 2010 as well.

THE COURT: Any objection to that?

MR. FOWLER: No objection. Maybe I'm jumping ahead. We were going to, I think, unseal that and probably the case caption but I'll --

THE COURT: Yeah, we'll talk about that in a minute. But I think those of us here know the document that we're speaking of, and I take it then you have no objection to the Court also taking note of the allegations in the Indictment.

MR. FOWLER: No objection.

THE COURT: All right. Any other evidence then today besides those two items?

MR. KETCHMARK: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Then, at the defendant's request, we are continuing the detention hearing until March  $1^{\rm st}$ . And I don't remember. Did we agree on a time?

MS. GICINTO: One-thirty.

THE COURT: One-thirty? March 1<sup>st</sup> at 1:30 to conclude. And that would be evidence that either side wants to offer either for, in favor of detention or opposing detention. Now, Mr. Fowler alluded to another issue. We've had a lot of discussions about the nature of this case. The defendant -- the Indictment was originally filed under seal, and at the time of the first appearance, the Government had asked that it remain under seal. A series of motions directed to why the case should remain under seal were filed, and those motions will remain under seal. But

it's my understanding at this point, the Government has no objection to the Court unsealing the Indictment and processing it in accordance with the normal procedures.

MR. KETCHMARK: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And I think anything that has, other than the Indictment that was filed under seal, will remain under seal. But from this point forward, when we have proceedings, it will be up to either defense counsel or Government counsel to determine if something's going to be presented at a hearing that would be of a nature that should not be shared publicly, and if so, you would then either have to ask for a particular witness, particular testimony or a particular proceeding to be under seal. And the Court would address it as it would in any other proceeding. And I take it that's agreeable to both parties.

MR. KETCHMARK: It is with the Government, Your Honor.

MR. FOWLER: It is with us also, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I think that leaves than for today the arraignment. Mr. Fowler, even at the time of the initial appearance, I did not read the Indictment verbatim to the defendant. It's approximately 30 pages long. I did summarize briefly the counts and the penalties. Is there any request that we read it today here in court?

MR. FOWLER: No, Your Honor, we would waive reading.

Mr. Quazzani's had it for approximately a week. He has read it

at least twice. I reviewed it count by count with him prior to

coming down here today. He's well aware of the charges and the penalties.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: All right. I appreciate that. Let me just then, as part of the arraignment, briefly state that it is a 33count Indictment. It alleges bank fraud, money laundering, interstate fraud and false statements to government agencies. also contains forfeiture allegations. The bank fraud charges, Counts One through Sixteen, Twenty-Nine and Thirty, all carry a penalty of not more than 30 years in prison, not more than a \$1 million fine, not more than five years supervised release. money laundering counts under 18 U.S.C. Section 1956 in Counts Nineteen -- I'm sorry -- Counts Seventeen, Nineteen, Twenty-One, Twenty-Three and Twenty-Seven, carry a penalty of not more than 20 years in prison, not more than a \$500,000 fine and not more than three years supervised release. The money laundering charges under 18 U.S.C. 1957, in Counts Eighteen, Twenty, Twenty-Two, Twenty-Four and Twenty-Eight carry a penalty of not more than ten years in prison, not more than a \$250,000 fine, not more than three years supervised release. The charges of interstate fraud in Counts Twenty-Five and Twenty-Six carry the very same penalty that I just read for Counts Eighteen, Twenty, Twenty-Two, Twenty-Four and Twenty-Eight. And Counts Thirty-One through Thirty-Three, the false statements to a government agency, contain a penalty, each count of not more than five years, not more than a \$250,000 fine and not more than three years

supervised release. There are allegations of forfeiture. addition, each count carries a \$100 mandatory special assessment. Let me remind you that you have the right to remain silent. You can not be compelled to make a statement. If you make a statement, plan that it would be used against you. You have the right to hire a lawyer that you choose and pay for. You've chosen to do that in this case. Had you been unable to hire a lawyer, then I would have appointed one to represent you free of With respect to the issue of release on bond, the Government is asking for detention. Today, we've had two pieces of information offered towards the motion for detention, and we will resume to take up any additional evidence to be offered on the detention versus release issue March 1st at 1:30. Fowler, having advised the defendant of the charge and the penalty and his rights, is he prepared to enter a plea? MR. FOWLER: Yes, Your Honor. He'd plead not guilt to

1

2

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

all counts.

THE COURT: The Court will direct that a not guilty plea be entered on defendant's behalf. This case is set for trial on the March 22<sup>nd</sup> docket. And I'm wondering if the parties -- I know it doesn't give you a lot of time -- but if you'll be in a position to talk about scheduling issues at the March 1<sup>st</sup> continuation of the detention hearing?

MR. KETCHMARK: That would be fine with the Government, Your Honor.

1 MR. FOWLER: I agree, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: All right. Is there anything further that 3 we need to address today? 4 MR. KETCHMARK: Not on behalf of the Government, Your 5 Honor. 6 MR. FOWLER: No, Your Honor, except just thank the Court 7 for its courtesy. I know I had a five-day continuance before we 8 got here, and I appreciate it very much. 9 THE COURT: All right. We'll be in recess. 10 (Off Record Talking) 11 THE COURT: Yes, and I hope I indicated, and maybe I 12 didn't -- I did sign the paperwork up here -- but the courtroom 13 deputy indicates I do need to say on the record that we're 14 unsealing the Indictment. I thought I had, but if I didn't, I 15 would indicate we're doing so at this time, processing it in 16 accordance with our normal procedures. 17 MR. KETCHMARK: Thank you. 18 MR. FOWLER: Thank you. 19 THE COURT: All right. 20 (Court Adjourned at 2:20 p.m.) 21 22 23

24

25

> /s/ Lissa C. Whittaker Signature of transcriber

January 11, 2011 Date